arXiv:1612.04387v1 [gr-qc] 13 Dec 2016

UAI-PHY-16/08

Thermodynamics of Regular Accelerating Black Holes

Marco Astorino*

Departamento de Ciencias, Facultad de Artes Liberales,
UAI Physics Center, Univesidad Adolfo Ibañez,
Av. Padre Hurtado 750, Viña del Mar, Chile

Abstract

Using the covariant phase space formalism we compute the conserved charges for a solution, describing an accelerating and electrically charged Reissner-Nordstrom black hole. The metric is regular provided that the acceleration is driven by an external electric field in spite of the usual string of the standard C-metric. The Smarr formula and the first law of black hole thermodynamics are fulfilled. The mass resulting has the same form of the Cristodolu-Ruffini irreducible mass.
On the basis of these results we can extrapolate the mass and thermodynamics of the rotating C-metric, which describes a Kerr-Newman-(A)dS black hole accelerated by a pulling string.

*marco.astorino@gmail.com

1 Introduction

The study black hole thermodynamics have been a fruitful area of research since the pioneering discoveries of Bekenstein and Hawking [1], [2] about the black hole temperature, entropy and radiation. It provided a first insight into the relation between gravity and quantum mechanics. More specifically some geometric features of the event horizon, such as its area or surface gravity, turn out to be linked to the thermodynamic properties of the black hole, such as its entropy or temperature. Given that thermal quantities of a physical system are related to its microstates statistical description, when we consider black holes thermodynamics, we are addressing to some quantum features of gravity.

The thermodynamics of several kinds of black hole have been studied in the last decades in general relativity and other gravitational theories. But only recently some attempts in the study of thermodynamics of accelerating black holes have been made [3], [4]. This basically is due to two reasons. First of all the accelerating black hole are described by C-metrics, which have an uncommon asymptotic behaviour: there is not a constant curvature background at infinity and moreover, depending on the range of the parameters we are considering, also accelerating horizon may occurs to complicate the asymptotic structure. In particular the unconventional falloff of the metric at large radial distances makes difficult to identify the timelike Killing vector necessary to compute the conserved charge associated to the mass of the black hole. Since the mass value enters in the laws of black hole thermodynamics, it is fundamental to have some good criteria to select the normalisation of the above Killing vector.

The second reason which makes the study of the accelerating black hole thermodynamics unclear is that these C-metrics present at least one non-removable conical singularity on the azimuthal axe of symmetry, booth in the static and in the rotating case. It is not clear to the author if the first law of black hole thermodynamics still have to hold in case of singular black hole metrics.

Therefore in this paper we will pursue a slightly different approach based on a variation of the accelerating and magnetically charged black hole which consists in embedding the solution in an external magnetic field. On the other hand, the metric we will specifically study is immersed in an external electric field, which is responsible of the black hole acceleration instead of the usual singular cosmic string (or strut). The presence of the external electric or magnetic field makes possible the removal of all the conical singularities. Thus the metric we will focus on this paper is fully regular outside the event horizon.

The choice of working with a regular solution is significant because directly removes the second difficulty, mentioned above, about the study of accelerating black hole thermodynamics.

Moreover the regularity of the solution is and essential ingredient in the covariant phase space methods [8], [9], [10] and [11] to compute the conserved charges. These techniques are particularly useful in case of unconventional asymptotic because allow one to compute conserved charges in a finite region surrounding the black hole, thus bypassing the criticalities placed at very large distance from the black hole.

This approach had already turned out to be successful in the study of similar spacetimes with non trivial asymptotic behaviour, as shown in [13]. It has been confirmed, in the extremal case, with different methods, as the near horizon analysis [14]. We would like to extend these results also for accelerating black holes, this will be done in Section 3, while in Section 2 we introduce the accelerating black hole immersed in an external electric field background, a sort of dual of the Melvin magnetic universe.

Unfortunately, in presence of the cosmological constant, the solution generating methods to build the electromagnetic background surrounding the black hole is not available, thus we cannot remove the conical singularity from the accelerating solution in this way. In that case the metric remains irregular, so we cannot proceed with the covariant phase space formalism to compute conserved charges. At most, extrapolating the results of Section 3, we can present, in Appendix A, some proposal to generalise the mass and the thermodynamics of the rotating C-metric in presence of the cosmological constant.

2 Accelerating charged black hole in an external electric field

The equations of motion for Einstein-Maxwell theory, governed by the action

$$I[g_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu] = -\frac{1}{16\pi}\int_M d^4x\sqrt{-g}\,(R - F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}) \quad , \tag{2.1}$$

are

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{R}{2}\,g_{\mu\nu} = 2\left(F_{\mu\rho}F_\nu{}^\rho - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}F_{\rho\sigma}F^{\rho\sigma}\right) \quad , \tag{2.2}$$

$$\partial_\mu\left(\sqrt{-g}F^{\mu\nu}\right) = 0 \qquad . \tag{2.3}$$

We consider the following solution for these equations

$$ds^2 = \frac{[\Lambda(r,x)]^2}{(1+Arx)^2}\left[-\frac{G(r)}{r^2}dt^2 + \frac{r^2dr^2}{G(r)} + \frac{r^2dx^2}{H(x)}\right] + \frac{r^2H(x)\Delta_\varphi^2\,d\varphi^2}{(1+Arx)^2[\Lambda(r,x)]^2} \quad , \tag{2.4}$$

$$A_\mu = \left[A_{t_0} + A_t(r,x), 0, 0, 0\right] \quad , \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$G(r) := (1-A^2r^2)(r-r_+)(r-r_-) \quad , \tag{2.6}$$

$$H(x) := (1-x^2)(1+Ar_+x)(1+Ar_-x) \quad , \tag{2.7}$$

$$\Lambda(r,x) := \left(1+\frac{qEx}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{E^2r^2H(x)}{4(1+Arx)^2} \quad , \tag{2.8}$$

$$A_t(r,x) := \frac{2AEr(2mr-q^2) - 4A^2qr^2 + E^2q\left[(2m-r)r - q^2(1-A^2r^2)\right]}{4A^2r^3} + \frac{EG(r)\left[2Ar + qE(1+2Arx)\right]}{4A^2r^3(1+Arx)^2} \, .$$

This solution depends on four physical parameters A, E, m, q respectively related to the acceleration, the intensity of the external electric field, the mass and the intrinsic electric monopole charge of the black hole. We will consider, without loss of generality the A positive branch, the $A < 0$ branch is specular (and it is equivalent to inverting the range of the polar angle). The radial coordinate r is, of course, positive and $x \in [-1, 1]$ since it corresponds to the polar angle (of spherical coordinates) through the relation $x = \cos\theta$. This kind of solutions were firstly generated by Ernst in [5], by applying a Harrison transformation to the charged C-metric. He has found out that it is possible to remove the conical singularity from the charged and accelerating black hole, described by the C-metric, because the Harrison transformation introduces a background external magnetic field. Therefore there is no need for cosmic string or strut that provides the acceleration to the black hole. In the Ernst solution the acceleration is furnished by the interaction between the monopole charge of the black hole and the external electromagnetic field. In order to remove the conical singularity, the external and intrinsic electromagnetic field of the solution have to be of the same kind. Otherwise the extra conical singularity brought in by the the Lorentz-like interaction between the intrinsic black hole charge and the electromagnetic background is not sufficient to compensate the C-metric axial deficit (or excess) angle, as shown in [6]. For this reason, originally, a magnetically charged accelerating Reissner-Nordstrom (RN) black hole was considered in an external magnetic field. In the case considered by Ernst, for small values of the acceleration, the metric approaches the Melvin magnetic universe [7].
On the other hand in this paper we prefer to consider the electromagnetic dual of the original Ernst solution because its electromagnetic potential A_μ is better behaved on the axial axes. In fact the dual Ernst solution (2.4)-(2.8) has no problems related to Dirac strings due to the presence of the intrinsic magnetic monopole. The well behaviour of the dual Ernst metric makes it suitable for analyse its conserved charges with the

covariant phase space methods [8] - [10], which lately revealed to be quite convenient in case of non-constant curvature asymptotics [12], [13]. That solution have been useful, for instance in [15], to clarify some issues related to the electric-magnetic duality at the (semi-classical) quantum level.
The constant Δ_φ can be set to ensure the absence of a conical singularity at one of the two poles. In fact considering a small circle around the $x = \pm 1$ axe we can require regularity with the following constraint

$$\frac{\text{circunference}}{\text{radius}} = \lim_{x\to\pm 1} \frac{2\pi}{1-x^2}\sqrt{\frac{g_{\varphi\varphi}}{g_{xx}}} = \frac{32\pi\Delta_\varphi(1 \pm 2Am + A^2q^2)}{(2 \pm qE)^4} = 2\pi \quad . \tag{2.9}$$

Because of the asymmetry of the deficits (or excesses, depending on values the parameters) angle at the poles of the two hemispheres, just one of the two nodal singularities can be simultaneously removed by fixing Δ_φ. We choose, without loosing generality, to regularise the north pole one ($x = +1$) by setting Δ_φ as follow

$$\bar{\Delta}_\varphi = \frac{(2+qE)^4}{16(1+2Am+A^2q^2)} \quad . \tag{2.10}$$

The second nodal singularity, located at $x = -1$, can be removed thanks to the presence of the external electric field. At this scope the acceleration parameter A can be constrained in terms of the others parameters of the metric m, q, E

$$\bar{A}_\pm(m,q,E) = \frac{m(16+24E^2q^2+E^4q^4) \pm \sqrt{m^2\left(16+24E^2q^2+E^4q^4\right)^2 - 64q^4E^2\left(4+E^2q^2\right)^2}}{8q^3E\left(4+E^2q^2\right)} \tag{2.11}$$

to ensure full regularity of the solution outside the event horizon. Hence from a physical point of view the acceleration is furnished by the interaction between the electric charge of the black hole and the external electric field, making the singular cosmic string unnecessary.
The constant A_{t_0} is arbitrary, so it can be set such that the gauge potential has a well defined vanishing acceleration limit. It can be done by an ad-hoc prescription that cancels the divergent term for $A \to 0$, i.e. requiring that the lowest order of the Laurent series in A parameter is $-\frac{E}{2A}$, but of course in this way A_{t_0} is not uniquely fixed. Indeed all the positive A-powers in the series expansion remain basically unconstrained. Otherwise A_{t_0} can be uniquely fixed by the integrability condition of the mass, selecting the canonical frame, where the Coulomb electro-chemical potentials Φ_{int} is null[1] on the surface of integration. Remarkably in that case the term that avoid divergences naturally appears. More details are elucidate in the next section. However in booth cases we smoothly recover or the Reissner-Nordstrom black hole embedded in an external electric field for $A \to 0$ or the standard charged C-metric for $B \to 0$.
The black hole described by the metric (2.4) has the same conformal structure of the accelerating Reissner-Nordstrom black hole, because the contribution of the external electric field to the conformal factor in front of the (t, r) part of the metric is not singular, within the accelerating horizon. In fact the black hole has an inner and an outer horizons $r_\pm$, which coincide with the RN ones, and an accelerating horizon r_A respectively located where $G(r) = 0$:

$$r_\pm = m \pm \sqrt{m^2 - q^2} \qquad , \qquad r_A = \frac{1}{A} \quad . \tag{2.12}$$

The regularity constraint (2.11) can take two values, then the accelerating horizon can be located, as shown in Figure 1, with respect to the inner and outer horizon as follows

$$r_{A_+} < r_- < r_+ < r_{A_-} \quad . \tag{2.13}$$

Therefore two different pictures are possible. Taking $\bar{A}_+$ the position of the accelerating horizon remains

[1] Although is sufficient to require a less restrictive property, such that the electric potential is not singular.



Figure 1: Positions of the Killing horizons for a fixed value of the mass parameter ($m = 5$). The picture does not change qualitatively for different values of m or range of E and q.

inside the inner horizon of the black hole. On the other hand, considering $\bar{A}_-$ as regularity constraint, the accelerating horizon is located, more conventionally, outside the black hole event horizon. It can be shown that for $A = \bar{A}_-$ some quantities, such as the electric charge, have better defined limits in case of vanishing the external magnetic field. This case can also be considered the standard branch because in the vanishing electric field limit, $E \to 0$, the value of the constrained acceleration $\bar{A}_-$ goes to zero, thus the regular RN black hole is retrieved. Instead, the acceleration regularity constraint (2.10) is divergent for $\bar{A}_+$, in the null electric field limit. Henceforward, for these reasons, we will consider the value $\bar{A}_-$ as the constrained acceleration and we will omit, to ease the notation, the $-$ sign.
The external electric field strongly modifies the geometry of the event horizon, which is stretched or squashed in the axial direction, depending on the values of the free physical parameters m, q, E. This can be inferred by inspecting the equatorial circumference of the event horizon embedded in the external electric field

$$C_e = \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{g_{\varphi\varphi}}\, d\varphi = \frac{2\pi r_+ \bar{\Delta}_\varphi}{1 + \frac{E^2}{4} r_+^2} \quad . \tag{2.14}$$

In fact, comparing C_e with the standard RN equatorial circumference $2\pi r_+$, we can appreciate, in Figure 2, how the variation of the the parameters p and E (while m is considered fixed in the plot) affects the size of the equatorial circumference. Thus, in presence of acceleration, the deformation of the black hole event horizon is not only axially stretched, as in occurs in the pure Melvin background [16].

3 Mass, Electric Charge and Thermodynamics

The area of the event horizon is modified, with respect to the accelerating RN black hole, by the external magnetic field only through the factor Δ_φ

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_{-1}^{1} dx \sqrt{g_{\varphi\varphi} g_{xx}} \;=\; \frac{4\pi \Delta_\varphi \; r_+^2}{1 - A^2 r_+^2} \quad . \tag{3.1}$$



Figure 2: Plot of the equatorial circumference of the RN event horizon $C_{hRN} = 2\pi r_+$ versus the polar circumference C_e, for $q \in [2,5]$, $E \in [0,2]$ and for a fixed value of the mass parameter $m = 5$. Depending on particular parametric values the electrified equatorial circumference can both shrink or expand with respect to standard RN.

The intrinsic electric and magnetic monopole charges can be computed, for the regularised black hole. They are respectively

$$\mathcal{Q} = \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_\Sigma F^{\mu\nu} d\Sigma_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} d\tilde{\varphi}\int_{-1}^{1} dx\ \sqrt{g_\Sigma}\ n_\mu \sigma_\nu F^{\mu\nu} = \frac{4\ q\ \bar{\Delta}_\varphi}{4 - q^2E^2} \quad , \tag{3.2}$$

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_\Sigma F_{\mu\nu}\ dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu = 0 \quad , \tag{3.3}$$

where $d\Sigma_{\alpha\beta} = -2n_{[\alpha}\sigma_{\beta]}\sqrt{g_S}\ d\varphi dx$ and $\sqrt{g_\Sigma} = \sqrt{g_{xx}g_{\tilde{\varphi}\varphi}}$ defines the two-dimensional volume element of the surface Σ which surrounds the horizon for fixed time and radial distance. n_μ and σ_ν identify two mutual orthonormal vectors, respectively time-like and space-like, normal also to the integrating surface Σ.
The Hawking temperature, in the unit we are considering where $c = \hbar = k_B$, is defined as usual in terms of the surface gravity κ_s

$$T = \frac{\kappa_s}{2\pi} \quad . \tag{3.4}$$

Considering[2] $\chi = \partial_t$ as the Killing vector generating event horizon, the surface gravity on that Killing horizon is given by

$$\kappa_s = \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_\mu\chi_\nu\nabla^\mu\chi^\nu}\ \Big|_{r_+} = \frac{r_+ - r_-}{2\ r_+^2}\ (1 - A^2 r_+^2) \quad . \tag{3.5}$$

The Coulomb electric potential on the event horizon is

$$\Phi_{r_+} := -\chi^\mu A_\mu \Big|_{r=r_+} = -A_{t_0} - \frac{E}{2A} + \frac{q}{r_+}\left(1 - \frac{q^2E^2}{4}\right) \quad . \tag{3.6}$$

[2]This vector is defined up to a constant rescaling. For the moment we naively are taking the simple normalisation characteristic of asymptotically flat spacetimes, but we will see that, since the non-trivial fields falloff, a proper rescaling will be necessary.

Note that, also for this quantity, the well behaviour of the vanishing accelerating limit requires that the lowest order term in the Laurent expansion of A_{t_0} coincide with $-\frac{E}{2A}$.
The calculation of the mass is not that direct, basically because it is not clear which is the normalisation of the canonical generator associated with the conserved energy. In fact the involved asymptotic structure of the solution makes hard to identify a global timelike Killing vector at infinity. We will follow an operational way to proceed, based on the covariant phase space methods and integrability [8] - [11], that was successfully pointed out in [13], for non accelerating black holes. We will compute the infinitesimal energy change due to the variation of the independent solution parameters $(\delta m, \delta q, \delta E)$[3].
Generically the infinitesimal conserved surface charge associated with the the Killing symmetry (ξ, λ) is given by

$$\delta \mathcal{Q}_{(\xi,\lambda)} = \oint_{\Sigma} \delta k_{(\xi,\lambda)} \left[\delta g_{\mu\nu}, \delta A_\mu; g_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu\right] \quad . \tag{3.7}$$

The conserved super-potential associated to the generic symmetry parameter (ξ, λ) is given, for the Einstein-Maxwell theory, by

$$\delta k_{(\xi,\lambda)} \left[\delta g_{\mu\nu}, \delta A_\mu; g_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu\right] = -\delta K_{(\xi,\lambda)} + K_{(\delta\xi,\delta\lambda)} - \iota_\xi \Theta \quad , \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$K_{(\xi,\lambda)}[g_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu] = \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{16\pi G} \left(dx^{n-2}\right)_{\mu\nu} \left[\nabla^\mu \xi^\nu - \nabla^\nu \xi^\mu + 4F^{\mu\nu}(\xi^\sigma A_\sigma + \lambda)\right] \quad , \tag{3.9}$$

$$\Theta \left[\delta g_{\mu\nu}, \delta A_\mu; g_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu\right] = \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{16\pi G} \left(dx^{n-1}\right)_{\mu} \left[\nabla_\sigma \delta g^{\mu\nu} - \nabla^\mu g^\nu{}_\nu + 4F^{\sigma\mu}\delta A_\sigma\right] \quad , \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\left(dx^{n-p}\right)_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_{n-p}} = \frac{1}{p!(n-p)!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_n} dx^{\mu_{n-p+1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^{\mu_n} \quad . \tag{3.11}$$

$\iota_\xi := \xi^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial dx^\mu}$ defines the interior product between differential forms.
In this formalism the electric charge (3.2) associated to the symmetry $(\xi = 0, \lambda = -1)$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{Q} = \int_{\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}}^{g_{\mu\nu}} \int_{\bar{A}_\mu}^{A_\mu} \delta \mathcal{Q}_{(0,-1)} \quad . \tag{3.12}$$

The integration is intended between the background fields (that is Minkowski spacetime in this case, where the physical parameters m, q, E are null) and the metric and gauge potential of the solution (2.4)-(2.8). The integrability property of the electric charge (3.12) ensures that it is well defined, i.e. the result is independent on the parametric path followed for the integration.
But, when dealing with the conserved energy, since we have no good criteria to define a canonical generator associated with the mass, the integrability of the charge can not be taken for granted. Therefore it would be more appropriate in (3.7), as done by some authors in the literature, the use of a notation that emphasise this aspect, such as $\not\delta \mathcal{Q}_{(\partial_t,0)}$. As found in [13], the act of imposing the integrability property on the mass charge $\mathcal{M}(m, q, E)$ uniquely selects its canonical generator. In the case under consideration it means that we can set the normalization of ∂_t by an integrating factor $\alpha(m, q, E)$ such that

$$\delta \mathcal{M} = \alpha \left[\not\delta \mathcal{Q}_{(\partial_t,0)} - \Phi_{int} \delta \mathcal{Q}\right] \quad . \tag{3.13}$$

The closeness of the one-form $\delta\mathcal{M}$ implies three partial differential equations in (m, q, E). It is a non trivial fact that these three equations are fulfilled by just the two function, $\alpha(m, q, E)$ and $\Phi_{int}(m, q, E)$, that

[3]Of course the acceleration parameter A can be equivalently considered instead of one between m, q or E, inverting the constraint 2.11. We have pursue this choice only for algebra economy.

remained undetermined. $\alpha(m,q,E)$ and $\Phi_{int}(m,q,E)$ that satisfy (3.14) are

$$\alpha = \frac{4(4+q^2E^2)^{3/2}}{(4-q^2E^2)^2\sqrt{4-4\bar{A}Emq+E^2q^2}} \quad , \tag{3.14}$$

$$\Phi_{int} = -A_{t_0} - \frac{E}{2\bar{A}} + E\bar{A}q^2\ \frac{4-q^2E^2}{4+q^2E^2} \quad . \tag{3.15}$$

Hence the integrability property is able to completely determine the canonical frame, setting a canonical time coordinate t_{can} and furthermore setting the value of the electric chemical potential Φ_{int}. Of course we can make use of the gauge freedom to select a frame where $\Phi_{int}=0$, just choosing

$$A_{t_0} = -\frac{E}{2\bar{A}} + E\bar{A}q^2\ \frac{4-q^2E^2}{4+q^2E^2} \quad . \tag{3.16}$$

In this way A_{t_0} is uniquely fixed and, at the same time, this gauge choice makes the limit to the (non-accelerating) Reissner-Nordstrom solution well defined.
It can be verified that the surface where $\Phi_{int}=0$ lays inside the acceleration horizon, at least for a large range of the parameters (m,q,E).
Having fixed the canonical frame through (3.14) - (3.16), we can integrate the equation (3.13) to get the integrable mass for the accelerating black hole under consideration

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{(4-q^2E^2)^2r_+^2 + 16q^2\bar{\Delta}_\varphi(1-\bar{A}^2r_+^2)}{2(4-q^2E^2)^2r_+\sqrt{1-\bar{A}^2r_+^2}}\sqrt{\bar{\Delta}_\varphi} \tag{3.17}$$

We find remarkable that this expression for the mass can be cast in form of the Christodoulou-Ruffini irreducible mass formula [17]. In fact the mass (3.17) can be expressed in terms of the event horizon area $\mathcal{A}$ (3.1) and the intrinsic black hole electric charge $\mathcal{Q}$ (3.2), as follows

$$\boxed{\mathcal{M}^2 = \frac{\mathcal{Q}^2}{2} + \pi\frac{\mathcal{Q}^4}{\mathcal{A}} + \frac{\mathcal{A}}{16\pi}} \quad . \tag{3.18}$$

Therefore the validity of the Christodoulou-Ruffini mass formula, even in the case the black hole is drastically deformed by both the presence of acceleration and an external electromagnetic background, goes beyond its original derivation, for the asymptotically flat Kerr-Newmann black hole. It suggests, also in view of the result of [13], that this quantity encloses some fundamental properties of more general black holes. Actually in [18] this feature was intuited for axisymmetric extremal black hole, even when distorted by the presence of surrounding matter in the Einstein-Maxwell theory.
Considering that the entropy is a quarter of the area $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{A}/4$, and rescaling the Killing generator of the black hole horizon $\chi \to \bar{\chi} = \alpha\chi$ we can check that the first law of black hole thermodynamics is fulfilled

$$\delta\mathcal{M} = \bar{T}\delta\mathcal{S} + \bar{\Phi}\delta\mathcal{Q} \quad . \tag{3.19}$$

Note that the rescaling of χ induces a dilatation of the Hawking temperature T and the Coulomb potential at the event horizon, according with the definitions (3.4)-(3.5) and (3.6) respectively[4]

$$\bar{T} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_\mu\bar{\chi}_\nu\nabla^\mu\bar{\chi}^\nu}\ \Bigg|_{r_+} = \alpha T \quad , \tag{3.20}$$

$$\bar{\Phi} = -\bar{\chi}^\mu\ A_\mu\Big|_{r=r_+} = \alpha\Phi_{r_+} \quad . \tag{3.21}$$

[4]Here we are considering the gauge choice (3.16) otherwise $\bar{\Phi}$ should be defined as $\alpha(\Phi_{r_+} - \Phi_{int})$.

Of course this rescaling naturally selects a canonical time, defined as $t_{can} = t/\alpha$. With this coordinate transformation the $\alpha-$factor normalisation of the canonical Killing vector, which defines the event horizon, can be reabsorbed to read $\bar{\chi} = \partial_{t_{can}}$.
Moreover it can be straightly checked that the canonical intensive quantities (constant everywhere on the event horizon) $\bar{T}$ and $\bar{\Phi}$ are coherent with the usual thermodynamic definitions

$$\bar{T} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial \mathcal{S}} = \frac{1}{8\pi\mathcal{M}}\left(1 - \frac{\pi^2\mathcal{Q}^4}{\mathcal{S}^2}\right) \quad , \tag{3.22}$$

$$\bar{\Phi} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial \mathcal{Q}} = \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{2\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}\left(\mathcal{S} + \pi\mathcal{Q}^2\right) \quad . \tag{3.23}$$

A further sanity check for the relation, between the mass $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{S})$, the extensive $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{S})$ and the intensive $(\bar{T}, \bar{\Phi})$ thermodynamic quantities computed above, is easily given by verifying the Smarr formula

$$\mathcal{M} = 2\bar{T}\mathcal{S} + \bar{\Phi}\mathcal{Q} \quad . \tag{3.24}$$

Note that the mass (3.17) or (3.24) is meaningful provided the regularity of the spacetime, therefore the limit for null electric field E have to be taken carefully. The acceleration constraint in the $E \to 0$ limit reduces to $\bar{A}_- = 0$, in fact from a physical point of view, when there is no electric field the only possibility to have a regular spacetime is given by imposing null acceleration too. Hence taking the limit in the mass formula (3.17) for vanishing acceleration and electric field (or equivalently commuting the limits order) we get the expected mass value $\mathcal{M} = m$, which coherently corresponds to the mass of the limiting spacetime, the Reissner-Nordstrom black hole.
In case one is interested in the mass and thermodynamics of accelerating black holes, without the presence of a regularising electric or magnetic field background, the phase space method is not applicable any more, precisely because of the conical singularity. However one might extrapolate the results we have found, for the regular case, in this section and in [13], [19]. In fact, assuming that, also in the non regular case, the mass fits into the Christodoulou-Ruffini formula, it is possible to fulfil the first law of black hole thermodynamics. Although with a different approach, the mass and thermodynamics for the accelerating Kerr-Newman black hole was presented in [19]. It is possible to generalise these results also in presence of the cosmological constant. Of course the validity of the first law for irregular spacetimes has not to be taken for granted, therefore we will leave this mass proposal in the Appendix A.

4 Comments and Conclusions

In this paper we have analysed the conserved charges and the thermodynamics of an accelerating Reissner-Nordstrom black hole, embedded in an external electric field background to ensure the regularity of the solution (outside the horizon).
While the electric charge is not problematic, to compute the mass we make use of the phase space technique which has the peculiarity to select a canonical time coordinate, thus a canonical time-like Killing vector associated to energy by requiring the integrability of the conserved charge.
The resulting mass satisfy both the standard first law of thermodynamics and the Smarr formula. Moreover this mass fulfil the Christodoulou-Ruffini irreducible mass formula, originally derived for the Kerr-Newman black hole.
Relying on this outcome we propose an analogous behaviour in presence of the cosmological constant. In this case the solution presents irremovable conical singularities because it is not known how to embed these asymptotically (A)dS spacetimes in an external electromagnetic background, which are able to regularise

the metric. Nevertheless, taking advantage of the Christodoulou-Ruffini formula for the Kerr-Newman-AdS black hole, it is possible to find well defined mass charge which fulfil the standard first law of thermodynamics, without the need of extra ad-hoc constraints on the physical parameters, as it has proposed in previous literature.
More generically would be interesting to investigate further on the effectiveness of the Christodoulou-Ruffini irreducible mass formula, because it has shown to work well also in case of drastic deformation of the Kerr-Newman black hole. Perhaps it encloses some significant information about black holes, which remains undisclosed at the moment. Finally would be worth to explore its range of applicability, also outside the realm of general relativity.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Geoffrey Compere, Roberto Oliveri and Cedric Troessaert for fruitful discussions.
This work has been funded by the Conicyt - PAI grant n° 79150061 and by Fondecyt project n° 11160945.

A Mass of the accelerating Kerr-Newman-AdS black hole

Accelerating (and rotating) black holes can be generalised in presence of the cosmological constant[5] (Λ). But, unfortunately, the Harrison transformation needed to embed these solutions in an external magnetic field is not working in this case, because some symmetries of the Einstein-Maxwell action are broken when Λ is not null [20]. Therefore it is not possible to eliminate simultaneously both conical singularities from the rotating C-metric. It means that physically we remain with at least one cosmological strings (or struts).
Anyway, thanks to a negative cosmological constant, it is possible to select a parametric range where the inconvenient accelerating horizon [21] is absent, obtaining the so called "slowly accelerating" black holes.
The rotating and accelerating C-metric we will consider, in spherical coordinates ($x := \cos\theta$), is

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{(1+Axr)^2}\left[\frac{f(r)+a^2h(x)}{r^2+a^2x^2}dt^2 - \frac{r^2+a^2x^2}{f(r)}dr^2 + \frac{r^2+a^2x^2}{h(x)}dx^2 \right. \tag{A.1}$$
$$\left. + \frac{a^2\Delta_\varphi^2(1-x^2)^2 f(r) + (a^2+r^2)^2 h(x)}{r^2+a^2x^2}d\varphi^2 + 2\frac{a(1-x^2)f(r) + a(a^2+r^2)h(x)}{r^2+a^2x^2}\Delta_\varphi dt d\varphi\right] ,$$

where

$$f(r) := (A^2r^2-1)(r-r_+)(r-r_-) + \frac{\Lambda}{3}\left(r^4 + \frac{a^2}{A^2}\right) , \tag{A.2}$$

$$h(x) := (1-x^2)(1+Axr_+)(1+Axr_-) . \tag{A.3}$$

Its associated electromagnetic field is the same of the Kerr-Newman solution

$$A_\mu = \left[-\frac{qr+pax}{r^2+a^2x^2}, 0, 0, -\frac{-qra(1-x^2) - px(r^2+a^2)}{r^2+a^2x^2}\right] . \tag{A.4}$$

In fact the (A)dS-Kerr-Newman metric can be recovered in the vanishing accelerating limit[6]. Note that in presence of the cosmological constant the position of the inner (r_i) and event horizon (r_h) is not any more

[5] Which is better to think negative to avoid issues related with the presence of the cosmological horizon.
[6] Just a little care in rescaling the time and radial coordinate is needed before taking the $A \to 0$ limit.

located at r_- and r_+, but their position is more algebraically involved; they correspond to the real roots of the quartic equation $f(r) = 0$. In [22] it is proposed the following parametrization

$$r_\pm = m \pm \sqrt{m^2 - q^2 - p^2 - a^2\left(1 + \frac{\Lambda}{2A^2}\right)} \quad . \tag{A.5}$$

However, in order to fulfill the Einstein equation of motion, it is sufficient only a constraint on r_-, as follows

$$r_- = \frac{3A^2(q^2+p^2) + a^2(3A^2+\Lambda)}{3A^2 r_+} \quad . \tag{A.6}$$

To remove the conical singularity, as done in Section 2, from the north pole we have to set the constant Δ_φ to a specific value

$$\bar{\Delta}_\varphi = \frac{1}{(1+Ar_+)(1+Ar_-)} \quad . \tag{A.7}$$

Alternatively it is be possible to fix Δ_φ to remove the conical singularity from the south pole. Henceforward the magnetic charge p will be considered null to ensure regularity of the electromagnetic field on the axe of symmetry, i.e. to avoid Dirac strings.
The event horizon area

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_{-1}^{1} dx \sqrt{g_{\varphi\varphi} g_{xx}} \;=\; \frac{4\pi\Delta_\varphi(r_h^2+a^2)}{1-A^2 r_h^2} \quad , \tag{A.8}$$

is, as usual, related with the black hole entropy by

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{\mathcal{A}}{4} \quad . \tag{A.9}$$

The electric charge and angular momentum are respetively given by

$$\mathcal{Q} \;=\; \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_\Sigma F^{\mu\nu} d\Sigma_{\mu\nu} = q\ \Delta_\varphi \quad , \tag{A.10}$$

$$J \;=\; \frac{1}{16\pi}\int_\Sigma \left[\nabla^\alpha \xi^\beta_{(\varphi)} + 2F^{\alpha\beta}\xi^\mu_{(\varphi)} A_\mu\right] d\Sigma_{\alpha\beta} = am\Delta_\varphi^2 \quad , \tag{A.11}$$

where $\xi^\mu_{(\varphi)}$ represents the rotational Killing vector ∂_φ.
In the chosen gauge (A.1) the Coulomb electric potential Φ_e and the angular velocity at the horizon Ω_h are

$$\Phi_e \;:=\; -\chi^\mu A_\mu\Big|_{r=r_h} = \frac{q\ r_h}{r_h^2+a^2} \quad , \tag{A.12}$$

$$\Omega_h \;:=\; -\frac{g_{t\varphi}}{g_{\varphi\varphi}}\ \Big|_{r=r_h} = -\frac{a}{(r_h^2+a^2)\Delta_\varphi} \quad . \tag{A.13}$$

Defining the Killing generator of the horizon as $\chi = \partial_t + \Omega_h \partial_\varphi$, we get the temperature of the black hole event horizon from the surface gravity κ_s

$$T = \frac{\kappa_s}{2\pi} := \frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_\mu\chi_\nu\nabla^\mu\chi^\nu}\ \Big|_{r_h} = \frac{-3a^2-2q^2+3m(r_h+A^2r_h^3)+r_h^4(3A^2+\Lambda)}{6\pi r_h(a^2+r_h^2)} \quad . \tag{A.14}$$

In Section 2 and in [13] we have found that, also in the presence of atypical asymptotics such as the accelerating and the magnetised ones, when the black is regular, the mass obey to the Christodoulou-Ruffini mass formula [17]. Assuming that this behaviour holds also in presence of conical singularity we can write

a mass formula for the accelerating (A)dS-Black hole, which obey the standard first law of black hole thermodynamics[7]

$$\delta\mathcal{M} = \bar{T}\delta\mathcal{S} + \bar{\Omega}\delta\mathcal{J} + \bar{\Phi}\delta\mathcal{Q} \quad , \tag{A.15}$$

where, as done in [13] and coherently with Section 3, $\bar{T}, \bar{\Omega}$ and $\bar{\Phi}$ are defined as follows

$$\bar{T} = \alpha T \quad , \qquad \bar{\Omega} = \alpha(\Omega_h - \Omega_{int}) \quad , \qquad \Phi = \alpha(\Phi_h - \Phi_{int}) \quad . \tag{A.16}$$

Actually, in absence of the cosmological constant, we have already verified in [19] that, when the first law is imposed to find the mass, it gives an expression which exactly coincides with the Christodoulou-Ruffini mass formula. But that path is technically more difficult to pursue when is present the cosmological constant. Nevertheless the generalisation of the Christodoulou-Ruffini mass formula is available [23] and it is given by

$$\mathcal{M}^2(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{J},\mathcal{Q}) = \frac{\mathcal{S}}{4\pi} + \frac{\mathcal{Q}^2}{2} + \frac{\pi(\mathcal{Q}^4 + 4\mathcal{J}^2)}{4\mathcal{S}} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}\,\frac{\mathcal{S}}{2\pi}\left(\mathcal{Q}^2 + \frac{\mathcal{S}}{\pi} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}\frac{\mathcal{S}^2}{2\pi^2}\right) \quad . \tag{A.17}$$

Finally, substituting in (A.17) the values of the extensive quantities $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{J}$, (A.8) - (A.11), we get the mass for the accelerating AdS-Kerr-Newman black hole, in terms of the metric parameters (m, a, q, A).
α, Ω_{int} and Φ_{int} can be uniquely inferred by the thermodynamic intensive parameters conjugate to $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$, as found in [23]

$$\bar{T} = \left.\frac{\partial\mathcal{M}}{\partial\mathcal{S}}\right|_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{Q}} = \frac{1}{8\pi\mathcal{M}}\left[1 - \frac{\pi^2}{\mathcal{S}^2}\left(4\mathcal{J}^2 + \mathcal{Q}^4\right) - \frac{2\Lambda}{3}\left(\mathcal{Q}^2 + \frac{2\mathcal{S}}{\pi}\right) + \frac{\Lambda^2}{3}\frac{\mathcal{S}^2}{\pi^2}\right] \quad , \tag{A.18}$$

$$\bar{\Omega} = \left.\frac{\partial\mathcal{M}}{\partial\mathcal{J}}\right|_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{Q}} = \frac{\pi\mathcal{J}}{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}\left(1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3}\,\frac{\mathcal{S}}{\pi}\right) \quad , \tag{A.19}$$

$$\bar{\Phi} = \left.\frac{\partial\mathcal{M}}{\partial\mathcal{Q}}\right|_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{J}} = \frac{\pi\mathcal{Q}}{2\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}\left(Q^2 + \frac{\mathcal{S}}{\pi} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}\frac{\mathcal{S}^2}{\pi^2}\right) \quad . \tag{A.20}$$

Note the conceptual difference with respect to the case without cosmological constant, as in Section 3 or in [13], where, since the metric was regular it was possible to directly compute $\delta\mathcal{M}$ and derive α, Φ_{int} (and Ω_{int}) from the mass integrability requirement. Only afterwards the agreement with the thermochemical quantities was verified. But in this case, where the metric is irregular due to the presence of the cosmological constant, α, Ω_{int} and Φ_{int} can be determined by assuming the validity of the thermodynamic relations (A.18)-(A.20).

Instead of interpreting the mass, from a thermodynamic point of view, as the energy of the black hole, it can be interpreted as enthalpy [24], [25]. In this case the cosmological constant is not considered fixed any more, but it is allowed to fluctuate. From the cosmological constant it is possible to define a pressure term, $\mathcal{P} = -\frac{\Lambda}{8\pi}$, which is treated as thermodynamic state variable, while the thermodynamic variable conjugated to $\mathcal{P}$ is given by the volume of the black hole

$$V := \left.\frac{\partial\mathcal{M}}{\partial\mathcal{P}}\right|_{(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{J},\mathcal{Q})} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}}\left[\frac{4\pi}{3}\mathcal{J}^2 + \frac{2\mathcal{S}}{3}\left(\mathcal{Q}^2 + \frac{\mathcal{S}}{\pi}\right) + \frac{16}{9}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}^3\right] \quad . \tag{A.21}$$

In this settings an extra term in the first law of black hole thermodynamics have to to included as follows

$$\delta\mathcal{M} = \bar{T}\delta\mathcal{S} + V\delta\mathcal{P} + \bar{\Omega}\delta\mathcal{J} + \bar{\Phi}\delta\mathcal{Q} \quad . \tag{A.22}$$

[7] For some values of α, Ω_{int} and Φ_{int}, as it is explained in [13]. Ω_{int} and Φ_{int} depend on the gauge choice, they can be null for a suitable observer.

The new term $V\delta\mathcal{P}$ refers to the change of enthalpy of the system for a pressure fluctuation $\delta\mathcal{P}$, at constant volume.
Remarkably the Christodoulou-Ruffini mass formula (A.17) satisfy not only the first laws (A.15) and (A.22), but also the Smarr formula

$$\mathcal{M} = 2\bar{T}\mathcal{S} - 2V\mathcal{P} + 2\bar{\Omega}\mathcal{J} + \bar{\Phi}\mathcal{Q} \tag{A.23}$$

$$= 2\bar{T}\mathcal{S} + 2\bar{\Omega}\mathcal{J} + \bar{\Phi}\mathcal{Q} - \frac{4\mathcal{S}\mathcal{P}}{3}\left[\frac{\bar{\Phi}\mathcal{S}}{\pi\mathcal{Q}} + \frac{\bar{\Omega}\mathcal{J}}{1+8\mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}/3}\right] \quad . \tag{A.24}$$

We stress that in this approach no ad-hoc assumptions, boundary conditions or constraints on the parametric space are needed to satisfy the first laws and the Smarr formula.
To give an example we will explicitly work out the non rotating case, i.e. $a = 0$ and $\mathcal{J} = 0$. Thus we are considering an accelerating Reissner-Nordstrom-AdS black hole.
From (A.18) and (A.20) we can find, making use of (A.12), (A.13) and (A.16), α and Φ_{int} respetively

$$\alpha = \frac{3r_h^4\Delta_\varphi\Lambda - 3(1-A^2r_h^2)\left[r_h^2 - q^2(1-A^2r_h^2)\Delta_\varphi\right]}{2\sqrt{1-A^2r_h^2}\sqrt{\Delta_\varphi}\left[3q^2 - 3m(r_h + A^2r_h^3) + r_h^4(3A^2+\Lambda)\right]} \quad , \tag{A.25}$$

$$\Phi_{int} = \frac{q}{\alpha r_h}\left(\alpha - \sqrt{\Delta_\varphi}\sqrt{1-A^2r_h^2}\right) \quad . \tag{A.26}$$

These quantities exactly reduce to the ones found in [19] in the case of null cosmological constant. Finally the mass, using the parametrization (A.5) for $r_\pm$, reads

$$\mathcal{M}\Big|_{a=0} = m\sqrt{\frac{1+Ar_h}{(1-Ar_h)(1+2mA+q^2A^2)^3}} \tag{A.27}$$

This value for the mass is coherent with the special case treated in [19], and in the null accelerating limit it goes to m, the mass Reissner-Nordstrom-AdS black hole, as expected. However the mass (A.27) does not coincide with other values in the literature, as in [4]. Therefore also the thermodynamic analysis is different. The main difference lies in the normalisation of the timelike Killing vector, which we choose, according with our previous results based on the covariant phase space methods [13] and Section 3, in agreement with the Christodoulou-Ruffini irreducible mass formula. In our opinion this proposal seems more well-grounded than the previous, because, without extra ad-hoc assumptions on the black hole physical parameters, the first law of black hole thermodynamics both in the standard case where the mass is considered as the internal energy of the thermodynamic system and in the case where the mass is associated with the enthalpy are fulfilled. Moreover it is coherent with the phase space techniques, when the cosmological constant vanish.

References

[1] J. D. Bekenstein, *"Black holes and entropy"*, Phys. Rev. D **7** (1973) 2333. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.7.2333

[2] S. W. Hawking, *"Particle Creation by Black Holes"*, Commun. Math. Phys. **43**, 199 (1975) Erratum: [Commun. Math. Phys. **46**, 206 (1976)]. doi:10.1007/BF02345020

[3] M. Bilal and K. Saifullah, *"Thermodynamics of accelerating and rotating black holes"*, Astrophys. Space Sci. **343** (2013) 165 [arXiv:1010.5575 [gr-qc]].

[4] M. Appels, R. Gregory and D. Kubiznak, *"Thermodynamics of Accelerating Black Holes"*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117** (2016) no.13, 131303 [arXiv:1604.08812 [hep-th]].

[5] F. Ernst *"Removal of the nodal singularity of the C-metric"*, J. Math. Phys. **17**, 515 (1976) [doi:10.1063/1.522935]

[6] M. Astorino, *"Pair Creation of Rotating Black Holes"*, Phys. Rev. D **89** (2014) no.4, 044022 [arXiv:1312.1723 [gr-qc]].

[7] M.A. Melvin, *"Pure magnetic and electric geons"*, Phys. Lett. **8**, 65 (1964). [doi:10.1016/0031-9163(64)90801-7]

[8] V. Iyer and R. M. Wald, *"Some properties of Noether charge and a proposal for dynamical black hole entropy*", Phys. Rev. **D50** (1994) 846–864, [gr-qc/9403028].

[9] G. Barnich, *"Boundary charges in gauge theories: Using Stokes theorem in the bulk*", Class. Quant. Grav. **20** (2003) 3685–3698, [hep-th/0301039].

[10] G. Barnich and G. Compère, *"Surface charge algebra in gauge theories and thermodynamic integrability*", J. Math. Phys. **49** (2008) 042901, [0708.2378 [gr-qc]].

[11] S. Gao and R. M. Wald, *"The 'Physical process' version of the first law and the generalized second law for charged and rotating black holes"*, Phys. Rev. D **64** (2001) 084020 [gr-qc/0106071].

[12] G. Barnich and G. Compere, *"Conserved charges and thermodynamics of the spinning Godel black hole",* Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 031302 [hep-th/0501102].

[13] M. Astorino, G. Compre, R. Oliveri and N. Vandevoorde, *"The Mass of Kerr-Newman Black Holes in an external magnetic field"*, Phys. Rev. D **94** (2016) 024019, [1602.08110 [gr-qc]].

[14] M. Astorino, *"Magnetised Kerr/CFT correspondence"*, Phys. Lett. B **751** (2015) 96 [arXiv:1508.01583 [hep-th]].

[15] S. W. Hawking and S. F. Ross, *"Duality between electric and magnetic black holes",* Phys. Rev. D **52** (1995) 5865 [hep-th/9504019].

[16] W. J. Wild and R. M. Kerns, *"Surface geometry of a black hole in a magnetic field"*, Phys. Rev. D **21** (1980) 332. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.21.332]

[17] D. Christodoulou and R. Ruffini, *"Reversible transformations of a charged black hole"*, Phys. Rev. D **4** (1971) 3552. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.4.3552]

[18] M. Ansorg, J. Hennig and C. Cederbaum, *"Universal properties of distorted Kerr-Newman black holes"*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **43** (2011) 1205 [arXiv:1005.3128 [gr-qc]].

[19] M. Astorino, *"CFT Duals for Accelerating Black Holes"*, Phys. Lett. B **760** (2016) 393 [arXiv:1605.06131 [hep-th]].

[20] M. Astorino, *"Charging axisymmetric space-times with cosmological constant"*, JHEP **1206** (2012) 086 [arXiv:1205.6998 [gr-qc]].

[21] Y. Chen, Y. K. Lim and E. Teo, *"Deformed hyperbolic black holes"*, Phys. Rev. D **92** (2015) 044058 [arXiv:1507.02416 [gr-qc]].

[22] K. Hong and E. Teo, *"A New form of the rotating C-metric"*, Class. Quant. Grav. **22** (2005) 109 [gr-qc/0410002].

[23] M. M. Caldarelli, G. Cognola and D. Klemm, *"Thermodynamics of Kerr-Newman-AdS black holes and conformal field theories"*, Class. Quant. Grav. **17** (2000) 399 [hep-th/9908022].

[24] D. Kastor, S. Ray and J. Traschen, *"Enthalpy and the Mechanics of AdS Black Holes"*, Class. Quant. Grav. **26** (2009) 195011 [arXiv:0904.2765 [hep-th]].

[25] B. P. Dolan, *"Pressure and volume in the first law of black hole thermodynamics"*, Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 235017 (2011) [arXiv:1106.6260 [gr-qc]].